Initial States and the Various Long-Time-Behaviors of the Unstable Multilevel Systems

Manabu Miyamoto

Department of Physics, Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

Received on 8 January, 2005

The decaying behavior of both the survival S(t) and total P(t) probabilities for unstable multilevel systems at long times is investigated by using the N-level Friedrichs model. The long-time asymptotic-forms of both S(t)and P(t) are obtained for an arbitrary initial-state extending over the unstable levels. It is then clarified how the asymptotic forms depend on the initial population in unstable levels. In particular, a special initial state that maximizes the asymptotic form of both S(t) and P(t) is found. On the other hand, the initial states eliminating the first term of their asymptotic expnasions also exist, which implies that a faster decay rather than expected can be realized. This faster decay for S(t) is numerically confirmed by considering the spontaneous emission process for the hydrogen atom interacting with the electromagnetic field. It is demonstrated that the t^{-4} -decay and a faster decay are realized depending on the initial states, where the latter is estimated as t^{-8} .

1 Introduction

The study on the unstable systems was initiated by the works of Gamow [1], who attempts to explain the exponential-decay law of radioactivity. This law is also observed in the atomic systems coupled with the electromagnetic (EM) field, and its theoretical description is now understood by the poles on the second Riemann sheet of the complex-energy plane [2]. However, in the middle of the last century, the deviation from the exponential-decay law was predicted by Khalfin [3] both for short times and for long times. Around the turn of the century, a short-time deviation was successfully observed [4]. On the other hand, the long-time deviation has still not been detected [5], even though expected for arbitrary unstable systems with the continuum of the lower-bounded energy spectrum. The main cause behind the matter could be ascribed to too small survival probability S(t) at such long times, that is the component of the initial state remaining in the state at a time t.

Some of the unstable systems can be reduced into the Friedrichs model [6, 7], which allows us to investigate the decaying behavior concerning such processes as the spontaneous emission of photons from the atoms [8, 9], the photodetachment of electrons from the negative ions [9-12], and so forth. The traditional study of the model often resorts to the single lowest-level approximation (SLA) of the atoms or negative ions, and it could be actually verified as long as such a level is quite separate from the higher ones. However, the multilevel treatment of the model has a possibility of another advantage: the choice of coherently superposed initial-states extending over various levels. In fact, it can yield a variety of temporal behavior that is never found in the SLA [13-15]. Such multilevel effects on temporal behavior are still not well studied except for Refs. [13-16], and much less examined with respect to nonexponential decay at long times. however examined such a long-time behavior of the survival probability S(t), incorporating the initial-state dependence, based on the N-level Friedrichs model [17]. discussion, obtained were proved to be quite general and general class Restricting ourselves to the weak coupling cases, we clarified how the asymptotic form of S(t), that follows a power-decay law, depends on the initial states. In particular, we disclosed the existence of a special initial state that maximizes the asymptotic form of S(t) at long times, which could be desirable for an experimental verification of the power-decay law, and also the initial states that eliminate the first term of the asymptotic expansion of S(t). The latter implies that S(t) for such initial states can exhibit another power-decay law, which is faster than the usual one. These results mean that the long-time behavior is determined by not only the small-energy behavior of the form factors but also the initial unstable-states. Such relations between the initial states and the power decay law were already studied with respect to the asymptotic behavior of wave packets, both for the free-particle system [18] and for finite-range potential systems [19].

In the present study, we derive the long-time asymptoticform of the total probability P(t) in the basis of the N-level Friedrichs model, and show its dependence on the initial unstable-states explicitly. P(t) is the probability of the system to remain in the subspace spanned by the unstable states, and is useful as a candidate for experimentally measurable quantities other than S(t) (see, e.g., [13, 20]). We fist consider the initial state localized at the lowest level, to look over the SLA from the multilevel approach. Then, the difference from the result based on the SLA is found unlike that for S(t). It is also proved that there exist the initial state maximizing the asymptotic form of P(t) at long times, and also the initial states eliminating the first term of the asymptotic expansion of P(t). One can then understand that these initial states have the same roles for S(t). Moreover, we numerically confirm the results for S(t) [17] by considering the spontaneous emission process for the hydrogen atom interacting with the EM field. We demonstrate the t^{-4} -decay of S(t), which was theoretically obtained by [8], and a faster decay predicted by [17]. The latter is estimated like t^{-8} as a power-decay law.

2 Friedrichs model

The N-level Friedrichs model describes the couplings between the discrete spectrum and the continuous spectrum. The model Hamiltonian is defined by

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V, \tag{1}$$

where H_0 denotes the free Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_n |n\rangle \langle n| + \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \omega |\omega\rangle \langle \omega|, \qquad (2)$$

and λV being the interaction Hamiltonian

$$\lambda V = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \, \left[v_{n}^{*}(\omega) |\omega\rangle \langle n| + v_{n}(\omega) |n\rangle \langle \omega| \right], \quad (3)$$

with the coupling constant λ . The eigenvalues ω_n of H_0 were supposed not to be degenerate, i.e., $\omega_n < \omega_{n'}$ for n < n'. Both $|n\rangle$ and $|\omega\rangle$ are the bound and scattering eigenstates of H_0 , respectively, and satisfy the orthonormality condition: $\langle n|n'\rangle = \delta_{nn'}, \langle \omega|\omega'\rangle = \delta(\omega - \omega')$, and $\langle n|\omega\rangle = 0$, where $\delta_{nn'}$ is Kronecker's delta and $\delta(\omega - \omega')$ is Dirac's delta function. They also compose the completely orthonormal system (CONS) with the resolution of identity. In Eq. (3), $v_n(\omega)$ denotes the form factor characterizing the transition between $|n\rangle$ and $|\omega\rangle$. In the latter discussion, we analyze the model with the assumption that the form factor $v_n(\omega)$ is analytic in a complex domain including the cut $(0, \infty)$, square integrable, i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty d\omega |v_n(\omega)|^2 < \infty, \tag{4}$$

and behaves like

$$v_n(\omega) \simeq q_n \omega^{p_n},\tag{5}$$

as $\omega \to 0$, where p_n is a positive constant while q_n is an appropriate one. The conditions (4) and (5) ensure that the integral $\int_0^{\infty} d\omega v_n(\omega) v_{n'}^*(\omega)/\omega$ is definite. The large-energy condition (4) ensures that $\int_0^{\infty} d\omega v_n(\omega) v_{n'}^*(\omega)/(z-\omega)$ is definite for all complex numbers $z \notin [0, \infty)$. Both of the conditions are satisfied by several systems involving the spontaneous emission process of photons [8, 9] and the photodetachment process of electrons [9-12]. Note that this small-energy condition (5) excludes the photoionization processes associated with the Coulomb interaction [21]; however, the formulation developed below could be applied to those cases.

The initial unstable-state $|\psi\rangle$ of our interest is an arbitrary superposition of the discrete states $|n\rangle$,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n |n\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where c_n 's are complex numbers satisfying the normalization condition $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |c_n|^2 = 1$. Then, the survival probability S(t) of the initial state $|\psi\rangle$, that is, the probability of finding the initial state in the state at a later time t, is defined by $S(t) = |A(t)|^2$. The A(t) denotes the survival amplitude of $|\psi\rangle$, i.e., $A(t) = \langle \psi|e^{-itH}|\psi\rangle$. The total probability P(t) that the state at the later time t remains in the subspace spanned by $|n\rangle$ is also defined by $P(t) = \langle \psi|e^{-itH}(\sum_{n=1}^{N} |n\rangle\langle n|)e^{-itH}|\psi\rangle$. It is worth noting that $P(t) \geq S(t)$ strictly holds for all times t, because the projection $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |n\rangle\langle n|$ can be decomposed into the two parts of the projections $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|P_{\perp} = P_{\perp}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = 0$. One actually obtains

$$P(t) = \langle \psi | e^{-itH} (|\psi\rangle \langle \psi | + P_{\perp}) e^{-itH} | \psi \rangle \ge S(t).$$
(7)

In particular, in the SLA, i.e., N = 1, P(t) = S(t). The Hamiltonian (1) in general has the possibility of possessing not only the scattering eigenstates $|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle$, but also the bound eigenstates [12,15,22-24]. However, the emitted particles detected in the decaying process are only brought from the initial component associated with the scattering eigenstates. We shall here confine ourselves to studying the decaying parts of A(t) and P(t), denoted by the same symbols as

$$A(t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega |\langle \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} | \psi \rangle|^2 e^{-it\omega}, \qquad (8)$$

and

$$P(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \langle n | \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} \rangle \langle \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} | \psi \rangle e^{-it\omega} \right|^{2}, \quad (9)$$

respectively.

In order to estimate the long-time behaviors of both A(t)and P(t), let us evaluate the scattering eigenstates $|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle$ by solving the Lippmann-Schwinger equation,

$$|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle = |\omega\rangle + \frac{\lambda}{\omega \pm i0 - H_0} V |\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle.$$
(10)

We here mention this procedure somewhat in detail to make an explanation in the self-consistent way. We first use the CONS to represent $|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle$ in the following form,

$$|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)|n\rangle + \int_0^{\infty} d\omega' f^{(\pm)}(\omega',\omega)|\omega'\rangle,$$
(11)

where the coefficients $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$ and $f^{(\pm)}(\omega', \omega)$ are given by $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega) = \langle n | \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} \rangle$ and $f^{(\pm)}(\omega', \omega) = \langle \omega' | \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} \rangle$, respectively. Then, the scattering component $\langle \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} | \psi \rangle$ that appears in Eqs. (8) and (9) turns out to be represented simply by

$$\langle \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} | \psi \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_n^{(\pm)*}(\omega) c_n.$$
 (12)

Acting $\langle \omega |$ from the left to Eqs. (10) and (11) and eliminating $\langle \omega | \psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)} \rangle$ from both equations, one obtains,

$$f^{(\pm)}(\omega',\omega) = \delta(\omega'-\omega) + \frac{\lambda}{\omega-\omega'\pm i0} \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_n^*(\omega') F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$$
(13)

By the same way, acting $\langle n|$ from the left to Eqs. (10) and (11), and eliminating $\langle n|\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle$ from both equations again, at this time, one has,

$$F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega) = \frac{\lambda}{\omega - E_{0,n} \pm i0} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \, v_n(\omega') f^{(\pm)}(\omega',\omega).$$
(14)

Then, substitution of Eq. (13) into (11) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{\omega}^{(\pm)}\rangle &= |\omega\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{N} F_{n}^{(\pm)}(\omega) \\ &\times \left[|n\rangle + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega' \, \frac{\lambda v_{n}^{*}(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' \pm i0} |\omega'\rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$
(15)

To determine $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$, we can eliminate $f^{(\pm)}(\omega',\omega)$ from Eqs. (13) and (14), and then have an algebraic equation for $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$,

$$\sum_{n'=1}^{N} G_{nn'}^{-1}(\omega \pm i0) F_{n'}^{(\pm)}(\omega) = -\lambda v_n(\omega), \qquad (16)$$

where

$$G_{nn'}^{-1}(z) \equiv (\omega_n - z)\delta_{nn'} + \lambda^2 s_{nn'}(z), \qquad (17)$$

which is the (n, n')-th component of the $N \times N$ matrix $G^{-1}(z)$, and $s_{nn'}(z)$ is defined by

$$s_{nn'}(z) \equiv \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{v_n(\omega')v_{n'}^*(\omega')}{z - \omega'},\tag{18}$$

for all $z = re^{i\varphi}$ $(r \ge 0, 0 < \varphi < 2\pi)$.

3 Small-energy behavior of the resolvent

Under the small-energy condition of Eq. (5), $s_{nn'}(z)$ is guaranteed to be analytic in the whole complex plane except the cut $[0, \infty)$. For the later convenience, $G^{-1}(z)$ is defined as an inverse of G(z), where G(z) is assumed to be regular. Note that G(z) is nothing more than the reduced (or partial) resolvent $G_{nn'}(z) = \langle n | (H-z)^{-1} | n' \rangle$. One can confirm this fact by following the discussion in section 3.2 of Ref. [7]. Since the behavior of A(t) and P(t) at long times are characterized by that of $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$ at small energies, detrmined by Eq. (16), we need to estimate the small-energy behavior of G(z). Note that under the condition (5) we have

$$G_{nn'}^{-1}(\omega \pm i0) = (\omega_n - \omega)\delta_{nn'} +\lambda^2 [I_{nn'}(\omega) \mp i\pi v_n(\omega)v_{n'}^*(\omega)] = \omega_n \delta_{nn'} + \lambda^2 I_{nn'}(0) + o(1), \quad (19)$$

as $\omega \to +0$, where $s_{nn'}(\omega \pm i0) = I_{nn'}(\omega) \mp i\pi v_n(\omega)v_{n'}^*(\omega)$ and $I_{nn'}(\omega) \equiv P \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{v_n(\omega')v_{n'}^*(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}$, where P denotes the principle value of the integral. The existence of $I_{nn'}(0)$ may be just guaranteed by the small-energy condition of Eq. (5) [25]. Supposing that $G_{nn'}$ is of the form

$$G_{nn'}(\omega \pm i0) = g_{nn'} + o(1),$$
 (20)

as $\omega \to +0,$ one obtains that

$$\begin{split} \delta_{nn'} &= \sum_{m=1}^{N} G_{nm} G_{mn'}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{N} g_{nm} \big[\omega_m \delta_{mn'} + \lambda^2 I_{mn'}(0) \big] + o(1), \end{split}$$

which leads to

$$g_{nn'} = \frac{1}{\omega_{n'}} \left[\delta_{nn'} - \lambda^2 \sum_{m=1}^{N} g_{nm} I_{mn'}(0) \right].$$
(22)

We solve this equation by assuming that $g_{nn'}$ can be expanded for small λ as

$$g_{nn'} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_{nn'}^{(j)} \lambda^{2j}.$$
 (23)

By substituting Eq. (23) into (22), it follows that

$$g_{nn'}^{(0)} = \delta_{nn'} / \omega_{n'}, \quad g_{nn'}^{(1)} = -I_{nn'}(0) / \omega_n \omega_{n'}, \qquad (24)$$

and for $j\geq 1$

$$g_{nn'}^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{\omega_{n'}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} g_{nm}^{(j-1)} I_{mn'}(0), \qquad (25)$$

where we have assumed that all ω_n does not vanish. Note that $g_{nn'}^{(0)}$ and $g_{nn'}^{(1)}$ derived here accord with at least those for solvable cases, where G(z) is explicitly obtained [15, 16]. We can then obtain

$$F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega) = -\lambda f_n \omega^p + o(\omega^p), \qquad (26)$$

with

$$f_n \equiv \frac{\tilde{q}_n}{\omega_n} - \lambda^2 \sum_{n'=1}^N \frac{I_{nn'}(0)\tilde{q}_{n'}}{\omega_n \omega_{n'}} + O(\lambda^4), \qquad (27)$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_n = \begin{cases} q_n & (p_n = p) \\ 0 & (p_n \neq p) \end{cases},$$
(28)

where $p = \min\{p_n\}$. With use of the \tilde{q}_n instead of q_n , we extracted only the dominant part of $F_n^{(\pm)}(\omega)$ at small ω .

4 Long-time behavior of the survival and total probabilities

In this section, we shall examine the long-time behavior of both S(t) and P(t) with the various initial-states. The formula for S(t) (or A(t)) was obtained by Ref. [17] as follows,

$$A(t) = \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^* c_n \right|^2 + o(t^{-2p-1}), \quad (29)$$

as $t \to \infty$, where $i^{2p+1} = e^{i(2p+1)\pi/2}$, and $\Gamma(z+1) = \int_0^\infty dx x^z e^{-x}$. Eq. (29) is derived by inserting Eqs. (12) and (26) into Eq. (8) by using the asymptotic method for the Fourier integral [26]. One can clearly perceive $A(t) \sim t^{-2p-1}$, the power-decay law.

Let us first consider the higher-level effects on the longtime behavior of A(t) that starts from the localized initial state at the lowest level. For such an initial state, i.e., $c_n = \delta_{n1}$, Eq. (29) becomes

$$A(t) = \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \frac{|q_1|^2}{\omega_1^2} [1 + O(\lambda^2)] + o(t^{-2p-1}), \quad (30)$$

where we supposed that $\tilde{q}_1 \neq 0$. Since there are no factors related to the higher levels in Eq. (30), it implies that the long-time asymptotic behavior of A(t) could agree with that in the SLA for a sufficiently small λ . We can also find a special superposition of discrete states $|n\rangle$ that maximizes the asymptotic form of A(t) at long times [17]. It is worth noting that its dependence on the initial states only appears in Eq. (29) through the factor $\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^* c_n$, which can be rewritten by an inner product as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^* c_n = \langle \chi | \psi \rangle, \tag{31}$$

We have here introduced an auxiliary vector defined by

$$|\chi\rangle \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n |n\rangle, \qquad (32)$$

from which Eq. (29) reads

$$A(t) = \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} |\langle \chi | \psi \rangle|^2 + o(t^{-2p-1}), \quad (33)$$

as $t \to \infty$. With resort to the Schwarz inequality, we see that the maximum of the factor (31) is just attained by if and only if $|\psi\rangle \propto |\chi\rangle$, i.e.,

$$c_n = cf_n / \|\chi\|, \tag{34}$$

where c is an arbitrary complex number with |c| = 1. Therefore, preparing the initial state $|\psi\rangle$ according to the above weights (34), we can maximize the asymptotic form of A(t)at long times. Substituting Eq. (34) into Eq. (33), one obtains that

$$A(t) = \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \|\chi\|^2 + o(t^{-2p-1})$$
(35)

$$\simeq \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{\tilde{q}_n}{\omega_n} \right|^2.$$
(36)

On the other hand, there are another kind of initial states that are coherently superposed to eliminate the factor (31) [17]. This is indeed realized by the initial states orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$, i.e.,

$$\langle \chi | \psi \rangle = 0. \tag{37}$$

In this case, the first term in the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (33) becomes zero. This fact may imply that A(t) for such an orthogonal state asymptotically decays faster than t^{-2p-1} .

As same as for S(t), we can derive the asymptotic form of P(t) at long times, which is determined by the small-energy behavior of the integrand. By substituting Eqs. (12) and (26) into Eq. (9), and by applying the asymptotic method for the Fourier integral again, P(t) reads

$$P(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \sum_{n'=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega F_{n}^{(\pm)}(\omega) F_{n'}^{(\pm)*}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} c_{n'} \right|^{2} (38)$$

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda^2 f_n \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} f_{n'}^* c_{n'} + o(t^{-2p-1}) \right| (39)$$

$$= \left|\lambda^{2} \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \|\chi\| \langle \chi|\psi\rangle\right|^{2} + o(t^{-4p-2}).$$
(40)

It follows from Eqs. (33) and (40) that $P(t) \gtrsim S(t)$ holds at long times too, in the sense of the comparison between the first terms of the asymptotic expansion of P(t) and S(t).

We next examine the initial-state dependence of the longtime behavior of P(t). Let us first consider the higher-level effects on P(t) with the initial state localized at the lowest level. In this case, Eq. (40) reads

$$P(t) = \left|\lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(2p+1)}{(it)^{2p+1}} \|\chi\| \frac{q_1^*}{\omega_1} [1 + O(\lambda^2)] \right|^2 + o(t^{-4p-2}),$$
(41)

with assumption that $\tilde{q}_1 \neq 0$. One clearly sees in Eq. (41) that the higher-level effect still remains through the factor $||\chi||$, unlike the case for A(t). We note that

$$\|\chi\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left|\frac{q_n}{\omega_n}\right|^2 [1 + O(\lambda^2)]} \\ \ge \left|\frac{q_1}{\omega_1} [1 + O(\lambda^2)]\right| = |f_1|, \quad (42)$$

which implies that as the number of levels N increases, the SLA with N = 1 may result in an underestimation of P(t) at long times. However, the resultant gain with a large N strictly depends on the system under the consideration: for instance, in the spontaneous emission process of photon from the hydrogen atom, $||\chi||^2/|f_1|^2 \simeq 1.29$ was obtained even for N = 50 [17]. Furthermore, Eq. (40) tells us that the initial state maximizing the asymptotic form of P(t) at long times is the same as it for S(t), i.e., $|\chi\rangle/||\chi||$. However, for such an initial state, we merely obtain that $P(t) \simeq S(t)$ at long times. One also finds from Eq. (40) such special initial-states that eliminate the first term of the rhs of the asymptotic expansion

(40). This is in fact realized by the initial states orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$ as same as for the S(t). Therefore, P(t) for such an initial state could be expected to decay asymptotically faster than t^{-4p-2} too.

Befor concluding this section, we point out that the initial state extended over discrete states $|n\rangle$ has the possibility of increasing the intensity of A(t) (P(t)) more than a localized one would. This possibility may be recognized as follows. The coupling λV makes a transition of the initial state, that is composed of the discrete states $|n\rangle$, into the continuum state $|\omega\rangle$, whereas the hermiticity of the Hamiltonian also allows an inverse process of making the state at a later time t get back into the subspace spanned by $|n\rangle$. In the latter process, there are various candidates for the reviving discrete-state. Repopulation of each discrete state can make the intensity of A(t) (P(t)) grow, provided that the initial state is composed of those discrete states. However, if the initial state only consists of a specific discrete state, the other discrete states composing the state at a later time t are thrown away without any contribution to A(t) (P(t)) [27]. This is the reason why the decay of the A(t) (P(t)) for extended states can be relieved more than that for localized states.

5 Application to the excited states of the hydrogen atom

In order to illustrate our analysis developed in the foregoing sections, we consider the spontaneous emission process for the hydrogen atom interacting with the EM field [8, 9]. In this case, we suppose that $|n\rangle = |(n+1)p\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$, where $|(n+1)p\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ denote the (n+1)p-state of the atom and the vacuum state of the field respectively, and also $|\omega\rangle = |1s\rangle \otimes |1_{\omega}\rangle$, where $|1s\rangle$ and $|1_{\omega}\rangle$ denote the 1*s*-state of the atom and the one-photon state respectively. Thus, an initially excited atom makes a transition to the ground state with the emission of a photon. We here choose only three excited levels: the 2*p* state, 3*p* state, and 4*p* state. Then, the form factors corresponding to the 2*p* - 1*s*, 3*p* - 1*s*, and 4*p* - 1*s* transitions become as follows [28, 29],

$$v_1^*(\omega) = i\Lambda_1^{-1/2} \frac{(\omega/\Lambda_1)^{1/2}}{[1+(\omega/\Lambda_1)^2]^2},$$
(43)

$$v_2^*(\omega) = i81\Lambda_1^{-1/2} \frac{(\omega/\Lambda_2)^{1/2} [1 + 2(\omega/\Lambda_2)^2]}{128\sqrt{2} [1 + (\omega/\Lambda_2)^2]^3},$$
(44)

$$v_{3}^{*}(\omega) = i54\sqrt{3}\Lambda_{1}^{-1/2}(\omega/\Lambda_{3})^{1/2} \times \frac{45 + 146(\omega/\Lambda_{3})^{2} + 125(\omega/\Lambda_{3})^{4}}{15625[1 + (\omega/\Lambda_{3})^{2}]^{4}}, \quad (45)$$

where $\Lambda_1 = 8.498 \times 10^{18} s^{-1}$, $\Lambda_2 = (8/9)\Lambda_1 s^{-1}$, and $\Lambda_3 = (10/12)\Lambda_1 s^{-1}$ are the cut-off constants. Note that these form factors have different forms, however they behave in the same way at small energy like $\omega^{1/2}$. The coupling constant is also given by $\lambda^2 = 6.435 \times 10^{-9}$. The embedded eigenvalues of H_0 are defined by $\omega_n = \frac{4}{3}\Omega[1 - (n+1)^{-2}]$ with $\Omega = 1.55 \times 10^{16} s^{-1}$. To derive these form-factors, we represented $|1_{\omega}\rangle$ in the energy-angular momentum basis for photon [30] as in Ref. [8], incorporating the conservation

of angular momentum and parity in each transition [31]. As was emphasized in Ref. [8], these form-factors are surely analytic results without any approximation. The Hamiltonian (1) is then derived within the four-level approximation and the rotating-wave approximation.

In the following, we shall compare the long-time asymptotic-form of A(t) predicted by Eq. (33) and that of $A_{\text{cut}}(t)$, which we evaluate numerically. $A_{\text{cut}}(t)$ is defined by

$$A_{\rm cut}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \langle \psi | \frac{1}{H-z} | \psi \rangle e^{-izt} dz.$$
 (46)

The contour C runs clockwise around the half line $\{re^{7\pi i/4}|0 \leq r < \infty\}$ in the complex energy plane. This contour lies on the first Riemann sheet when it goes below the half line, and gets into the second Riemann sheet when it above the half line. $A_{\rm cut}(t)$ is related to A(t) through the equation,

$$A(t) = A_{\rm cut}(t) - \sum_{z_p} \operatorname{Res}\left(\langle \psi | \frac{1}{H-z} | \psi \rangle e^{-izt}, z_p\right),$$
(47)

where z_p is in general the complex pole of $\langle \psi | (H-z)^{-1} | \psi \rangle$ located in the region between the half lines $[0, \infty)$ and $\{re^{-\pi i/4} | 0 \leq r < \infty\}$ in the second Riemann sheet. In the weak-coupling case considered here, each of z_p is in the neighborhood of ω_n , and thus the asymptotic form of $A_{\text{cut}}(t)$ and that of A(t) are expected to exhibit the same behavior at long times, where the power decay dominates over the exponential decay [32].

Let us first restrict ourselves to the two initial states: the localized state at the 2p level $|1\rangle$ and the maximizing state $|\chi\rangle/||\chi||$. Figure 1 shows the time evolution of $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ and the asymptote of $|A(t)|^2$ for these initial-states. It is clearly seen that $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$'s for these initial states approach to the corresponding asymptotes of $|A(t)|^2$ parallel to t^{-4} , however the difference between them is very small [17]. At $t = 10^5 \Lambda_1^{-1}$, we obtain $|A_{\rm cut}(t)/A_{\rm asp}(t)|^2 \simeq 0.999$ for these initial states. Is is worth stressing that this time is very earlier than $1/\gamma_1 \simeq 1.36 \times 10^{10} \Lambda_1^{-1}$ the lifetime of the 2p state [33], where $\gamma_1 = 2\pi\lambda^2 |v_1(\omega_1)|^2 + O(\lambda^4) \simeq 6.268 \times 10^8 s^{-1}$ [8].



Figure 1. Square moduli of $A_{\text{cut}}(t)$'s for initial states $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle/||\chi||$, and their corresponding asymptotes predicted by Eq. (33) (solid lines). For these initial states, $|A_{\text{cut}}(t)|^2$ shows the same behavior like t^{-4} at long times.

430

We next choose the two special states, $|\chi_1^{\perp}\rangle$ and $|\chi_2^{\perp}\rangle$, as the initial state $|\psi\rangle$. The former is defined by

$$|\chi_1^{\perp}\rangle = \frac{f_2^*|1\rangle - f_1^*|2\rangle}{\sqrt{|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2}},\tag{48}$$

and the latter is

$$|\chi_{2}^{\perp}\rangle = \frac{f_{1}f_{3}^{*}|1\rangle + f_{2}f_{3}^{*}|2\rangle - (|f_{1}|^{2} + |f_{2}|^{2})|2\rangle}{\sqrt{|f_{1}f_{3}|^{2} + |f_{2}f_{3}|^{2} + (|f_{1}|^{2} + |f_{2}|^{2})^{2}}},$$
 (49)

so that they eliminate the factor (31) and satisfy the relations that $\langle \chi | \chi_1^{\perp} \rangle = 0$, $\langle \chi | \chi_2^{\perp} \rangle = 0$, and $\langle \chi_1^{\perp} | \chi_2^{\perp} \rangle = 0$. Figure 2 shows that the time evolution of $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ for these initial states and for the maximizing initial state. We clearly find that, as was seen in Fig. 1, $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ for the maximizing initial state (solid curve) asymptotically decays like t^{-4} , whereas $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ for other initial state (long-dashed and short-dashed curves) follow another decay-law faster than t^{-4} . They seem to be fitted with the power law t^{-8} . For the comparison, we also depict in Fig. 2 the two straight lines $150.0 \times (\Lambda_1 t)^{-8}$ and $30.0 \times (\Lambda_1 t)^{-8}$ (solid lines), to which $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ for the initial state $|\chi_1^{\perp}\rangle$ and $|\chi_2^{\perp}\rangle$ approach respectively in this time region.



Figure 2. Square moduli of $A_{\rm cut}(t)$'s for initial states $|\psi\rangle = |\chi\rangle/|\chi||, |\chi_1^{\perp}\rangle$, and $|\chi_2^{\perp}\rangle$. For $|\chi\rangle/|\chi||, |A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ shows the t^{-4} behavior at long times (a solid curve), whereas $|A_{\rm cut}(t)|^2$ for other states exhibit another decay-behavior which is obviously faster than t^{-4} (long-dashed and short-dashed curves). For the comparison, the two straight lines parallel to t^{-8} (solid lines) are also depicted.

6 Concluding remarks

We have considered the long-time behavior of the unstable multilevel systems and examined the asymptotic behavior of not only the survival S(t) but also the total P(t) probabilities for an arbitrary initial state in the long-time region, where both S(t) and P(t) obey a power decay law. For the initial state localized at the lowest level, we have found that the SLA results in an underestimation of the asymptotic form of P(t) unlike the result for S(t). We have also discovered two kinds of special initial-state. One of them maximizes the asymptotic form of P(t) at long times, and actually the same state that maximizes S(t). However, for such an initial state, we only obtain that $P(t) \simeq S(t)$ at long times, even though $P(t) \ge S(t)$ holds for all times t. The other initial state eliminates the first term of the asymptotic expansion of P(t), and also plays the same role for S(t). In addition, we numerically confirm the previous results for S(t) [17] in consideration of the spontaneous emission process for the hydrogen atom. Then, we show not only the t^{-4} -decay of S(t) but also a more faster decay, the latter of which is naively fitted by a power-decay law t^{-8} . However, we still do not accomplish the derivation of the asymptotic forms for such faster decays. To this end, it will be needed to take into account the zero-energy resonance and the zero-energy eigenstate with an appropriate state space [34, 35, 36]. We hope to deal with this issue in the future.

7 Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his gratitude to the organizers of the Second International Workshop DICE2004 Castello di Piombino (Tuscany), September 1-4, 2004, From Decoherence and Emergent Classicality to Emergent Quantum Mechanics. He also would like to thank Professor I. Ohba and Professor H. Nakazato for useful and helpful discussions. This work is partly supported by a Grant for The 21st Century COE Program at Waseda University from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References

- [1] G. Gamow, Z. Phys. 51, 204 (1928).
- [2] For a review, see, for example, H. Nakazato, M. Namiki, and S. Pascazio, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10, 247 (1996).
- [3] L. A. Khalfin, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 33, 1371 (1957) [Sov. Phys. JETP 6, 1053 (1958)].
- [4] S. R. Wilkinson, et al, Nature (London) 387, 575 (1997).
- [5] P. T. Greenland, Nature (London) 335, 298 (1988).
- [6] K. O. Friedrichs, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 1, 361 (1948).
- [7] P. Exner, Open Quantum Systems and Feynman Integrals (Reidel, Doredrecht, 1985).
- [8] P. Facchi and S. Pascazio, Phys. Lett. A 241, 139 (1998).
- [9] I. Antoniou, E. Karpov, G. Pronko, and E. Yarevsky, Phys. Rev. A 63, 062110 (2001).
- [10] K. Rzążewski, M. Lewenstein, and J. H. Eberly, J. Phys. B 15, L661 (1982).
- [11] S. L. Haan and J. Cooper, J. Phys. B 17, 3481 (1984).
- [12] H. Nakazato, in "Fundamental Aspects of Quantum Physics", edited by L. Accardi and S. Tasaki (World Scientific, New Jersey, 2003).
- [13] E. Frishman and M. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 253001 (2001); Phys. Rev. A 68, 032717 (2003).
- [14] I. Antoniou, E. Karpov, G. Pronko, and E. Yarevsky, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 42, 2403 (2003).
- [15] I. Antoniou, E. Karpov, G. Pronko, and E. Yarevsky, quantph/0402210 (2004).

- [16] E. B. Davies, J. Math. Phys. 15, 2036 (1974).
- [17] M. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. A 70, 032108 (2004).
- [18] K. Unnikrishnan, Am. J. Phys. 65, 526 (1997); 66, 632 (1998);
 F. Lillo and R. N. Mantegna, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1061 (2000);
 84, 4516 (2000); J. A. Damborenea, I. L. Egusquiza, and
 J. G. Muga, Am. J. Phys. 70, 738 (2002); M. Miyamoto, J. Phys. A 35, 7159 (2002); Phys. Rev. A 68, 022702 (2003).
- [19] M. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. A 69, 042704 (2004).
- [20] F. Remacle and R. D. Levine, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 1399 (1996).
- [21] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 73, 1002 (1948).
- [22] L. P. Horwitz and J.-P. Marchand, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 1, 225 (1971).
- [23] A. G. Kofman G. Kurizki and B. Sherman, J Mod Opt. 41, 353 (1994).
- [24] For the multilevel cases, if all eigenvalues ω_n of H_0 are positive and non-degenerate, and the coupling constant λ is sufficiently weak, then we can show that no negative eigenvalues of H exist.
- [25] In fact, by using the technique in the proof of Proposition 3.2.2. in Ref. [7], we can show under our assumption to $v_n(\omega)$ that $-\int_0^\infty d\omega' v_n(\omega')v_{n'}^*(\omega')/\omega' = \lim_{\omega \downarrow 0} s_{nn'}(\omega) = \lim_{\omega \downarrow 0} I_{nn'}(\omega)$.
- [26] E. T. Copson, Asymptotic Expansions (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1965), Chap. 3.

- [27] This interpretation is not necessarily applied to all of the time region. The reason is that such repopulation processes are naively expected to occur under the dominance of the terms of $O(\lambda^2)$ for our interaction Hamiltonian λV . This does not contradict the behavior of A(t) (and P(t)) at long times [14].
- [28] H. E. Mose, Phys. Rev. A 8, 1710 (1973).
- [29] J. Seke, Physica A 203, 269 (1994).
- [30] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Interscience, New York, 1965).
- [31] Note that the form factors obtained by Ref. [29] are described by the one-photon state with a definite helicity. Thus, to use those results, we need to rewrite such a state in the parity representation [30]. However, this change makes a difference only in the pre-factor of the results of [29].
- [32] The transition time t_{ep} from the exponential to the power decay law is, e.g., approximately 100 lifetimes for the localized state at the 2p level [8, 9]. At this time, we obtain, $|A(t_{ep})|^2 \simeq 4.41 \times 10^{-55}$, an extremely small value [17].
- [33] P. Facchi, Ph. D. thesis, Università degli Studi di Bari, 2000.
- [34] J. Rauch, Comm. Math. Phys. 61, 149 (1978).
- [35] A. Jensen and T. Kato, Duke Math. J. 46, 583 (1979).
- [36] M. Murata, J. Funct. Anal. 49, 10 (1982).